Co-Occurring Mental Disorders Among Criminal Offenders

Gilles Côté, PhD; and Sheilagh Hodgins, PhD

Many convicted offenders suffer from major mental disorders. These offenders commit crimes with great frequency. They do not receive mental health care and are often found in isolation cells of correctional facilities. The present investigation examined lifetime multiple disorders, measured by the DIS, among a representative sample of male penitentiary inmates. Pure forms of the major mental disorders were rare. All possible combinations of the major disorders were found to exist. No patterns of groupings of disorders were evident.

It has long been suggested that the prevalence rates of the severe mental disorders (schizophrenia, schizophreniform disorder, major affective disorders, bipolar disorder, atypical bipolar disorder, organic brain syndrome) are higher among incarcerated offenders than among the general population.^{1,2} In a review of the relevant literature Monahan and Steadman³ concluded that the prevalence of psychoses among incarcerated offenders varied from 1 to 7 percent. However, this conclusion was based on data from methodologically flawed investigations. Six recent studies have employed a reliable, valid, standardized diagnostic instrument, the Diagnostic Interview Schedule (DIS)⁴⁻⁶ to evaluate representative samples of prisoners.7-12 All have used the DIS and found lifetime prevalence rates for the major medical disorders exceeding those

Drs. Côté and Hodgins are affiliated with the Centre de Recherche Philippe Pinel, Université de Montréal. Address reprint requests to Dr. Gilles Côté, Centre de Recherche Philippe Pinel, 10905, Boul. Henri-Bourassa Est, Montréal, Québec, Canada, H1C 1H1. estimated for males and for females in the general population. In at least one study it has been demonstrated that the disorders appear in most cases before the first sentence to a penitentiary.¹²

It is essential to begin to understand these mentally disordered offenders. They commit crimes at a high rate and appear to be particularly prone to violence, at least in some cases 13-15 (G. Côté, S. Hodgins, unpublished data, November 1989; S. Hodgins, G. Côté, unpublished data, November 1989). They are not receiving mental health care^{12, 16, 17} and are often held in isolation cells¹⁸ (S. Hodgins, G. Côté, unpublished data, June 1989). These individuals may well differ from other mentally disordered persons in that they suffer simultaneously from a multiplicity of disorders. Mirsky, 10 using the DIS, evaluated a representative sample of inmates of the Cook County Jail. Almost all of those who suffered from a severe disorder also met the DSM-III criteria for at least one other disorder: in fact 78% of the schizo-

phrenics, 82% of those who met the criteria for bipolar disorder and 78% of those with a major depression received three or more additional diagnoses. In an evaluation of men accused of criminal offenses, it was found that over half received a diagnosis other than sociopathic personality.¹⁹ Similarly, in conclusion to an investigation of 50 pretrial psychiatric evaluations, it was noted that diagnoses of schizophrenia or bipolar disorder, uncomplicated by substance abuse or APD, were infrequent.²⁰ Among men accused of a criminal offense, it was found that nearly half of those with a diagnosis of schizophrenia manifested, as well, a depression, substance abuse, or head injury.²¹ Multiple disorders are not limited to offenders, they have been identified among the general, adult and child, population²²⁻²⁴ and among substance abusers. 25-27

It has been proposed that individuals with multiple disorders are oriented to prison rather than treatment settings simply because they do not present as pure types.²⁸ In Great Britain, Taylor and Gunn²⁹ demonstrated that, among men accused of a criminal offense, schizophrenics with substance abuse or an additional disorder were six times less likely than pure schizophrenics to be sent for treatment to a hospital. This finding suggests that Klassen and O'Connor's³⁰ conclusion, that substance abusers are more likely to enter the criminal justice system whereas the schizophrenics enter the mental health system, is too simplistic.

Multiple disorders may be associated with aggressive or violent behavior.

Lamb³¹ observed that young, psychotic, substance abusing males were often assaultive, lacked internal controls, and showed self-destructive behavior. Concluding a review of the literature on the association between psychopathology and violence, Krakowski, Volavka and Brizer, 32 noted that a high percentage of assaultive schizophrenic patients have secondary diagnoses and that chronic depressive traits are prominent in certain categories of violent patients with personality disorders. Subjects with APD and alcohol dependence are more likely than those with a primary diagnosis of alcohol dependence to use weapons while drunk.³³

Not only has the multiplicity of disorders been associated with antisocial behavior, it has been shown to effect the course of a disorder and the severity of the symptoms, ^{24, 34} the efficacy of treatment, ²⁶ the dynamic response to the disorder, ³⁵ as well as clinician's response to the patient. ^{36–38} In addition, the chronology of onset appears to effect the symptomatology. ^{33, 39}

As diagnostic criteria have become more objective, reliable, valid, and standardized, diagnostic instruments have become available to researchers; the evidence of the importance of identifying combinations of disorders has become overwhelming. The present investigation examined multiple diagnoses among a representative sample of penitentiary inmates (offenders who have received a sentence of two years or longer). This initial descriptive study was designed to provide hypotheses for future work on the mental health system's re-

jection of persons with multiple disorders, the efficacy of treatment with such cases, and the association between various disorders and violence.

Method

Subjects A random sample, controlled for facility and age, of 650 inmates of the penitentiaries situated in Ouebec was identified. The sample included 21.9 percent of the male penitentiary population in April 1988. Of the 650, 495 (76.2%) were interviewed. Those who accepted the interview are similar to those who refused with respect to the total length of sentences, number of terms in the penitentiary, the security level of the facility where they were housed, type of crime which lead to current admission, the most serious crime for which the subject was convicted, and marital status. However, those who refused the interview (M = 32.82, SD = 8.72) were slightly older than those who accepted (M = 31.09,SD = 8.52) (t(631) = 2.07; p = .04) and had been in the penitentiary longer during this current term (M = 171.4 weeks,SD = 214.49) than the others (M = 116.14 weeks, SD = 162.42) (t(631) =2.81; p = .005).

The subjects interviewed were, on average, 31.09 years old; with ages ranging from 19 to 67 years. More than half (51.8%) were single. The mean number of penitentiary terms served is 1.83 (SD = 1.29) with median total sentences of 1,980.00 days.

Instrument The present investigation employed a French translation of the DIS version III-A to establish diag-

noses. This instrument is designed to be used by lay interviewers and employs DSM-III criteria for diagnoses. Many studies concur on the validity of the DIS.^{4,40-44} Successful use of the DIS in languages other than English has been reported.⁴⁴⁻⁴⁷

Sixty-nine subjects were reinterviewed after a mean delay of five weeks by a different interviewer; interrater agreement was good (kappa .78).⁴⁸

Procedure Once institution staff had been made aware of the study and the procedures to be followed, each inmate received an individually addressed letter explaining the proposed study and inviting him to participate. During the days which followed, each inmate was given a pass to meet an interviewer. The interviewer presented the project in detail, answered all questions, and asked the inmate to sign two consent forms. The first of these forms requested his participation in an interview which would deal with the extent of mental health problems within the penitentiaries; the second requested access to health and criminal justice files. The interviewers initially met each inmate in an effort to reduce to a minimum the number of refusals. If the inmate consented to the interview, it took place immediately. If he refused, a few weeks later he was asked again to reconsider his decision. After the interview each inmate received a letter thanking him for his participation in the study.

The interviewers were recruited among Correctional Service of Canada contractual employees. A minimum requirement was an undergraduate degree in psychology, criminology, sociology, or social work. An initial screening of files was made; potential candidates were then seen in an interview. The initial selection criteria included work experience, pertinent university training, training in conducting interviews, dynamism, and good communication skills. Candidates selected after the interview were hired conditionally on successful completion of a week-long training program.

The first training program was conducted by a psychologist who had worked with the DIS for many years, the second by two psychologists, members of the research team. Eighteen potential interviewers participated in the training of whom 13 were hired for the project.

Several procedures were followed to ensure the validity and reliability of the data being collected. One, the first 50 interviews were each checked by an experienced DIS interviewer to ensure that the interviewers were correctly administering the instrument. Only minor problems were noted and these were immediately communicated to the interviewer concerned. Two, each DIS was checked by a psychologist who knew the instrument in order to identify contradictions and to verify that the interviewer's comments and the verbatim transcript of the interview corresponded to his/her official answers. If a problem was identified, the interviewer was immediately contacted; sometimes it was necessary to interview the inmate a second time in order to clarify answers or to complete sections of the DIS which were missing. The research team met with the

interviewers once a week during the first three weeks of the project and biweekly for the duration of the project. During these meetings, problems were discussed, an ex-inmate was interviewed, and difficulties were identified and corrected. All of the problems with the DIS were noted in a special bulletin which was circulated regularly among the interviewers.

The results are presented using lifetime disorders. In establishing diagnoses, as is the practice, DSM-III exclusion criteria were ignored. Subjects who met the criteria for organic brain syndrome were omitted as there were so few of them. In all analyses, schizophreniform disorder is included with schizophrenia. A major depression was not counted as a concurrent diagnosis for bipolar disorder or for atypical bipolar disorder.

Results

The lifetime prevalence rates of mental disorders are presented in Table 1. The rates of the major mental disorders far exceed those for men in the general population: the lifetime prevalence of the schizophrenic disorders is seven times higher, the rate of bipolar disorder

Table 1
Lifetime Prevalence Rates of Key Lifetime
Disorders

Disorder	Frequency (%)
Schizophrenic disorder	32 (6.5)
Schizophreniform disorder	6 (1.2)
Bipolar disorder	17 (3.4)
Atypical bipolar disorder	16 (3.2)
Major depression	73 (14.8)
APD	303 (61.5)
Alcohol abuse/depend- ence	330 (66.9)
Drug abuse/dependence	241 (48.9)

Co-Occurring Mental Disorders

is six times higher, and that of major depression is twice as high (see Refs. 7, 49, and 50 as rates in the general population). Two thirds of the subjects fulfilled the criteria for alcohol abuse and/or dependence, and half for other drug abuse and/or dependence.

As shown in Table 2, the major disorders rarely occurred in a pure form; rather they occurred in combination with other major disorders or with APD, alcohol or drug abuse and/or dependence. Combinations of three or more of disorders were frequent: 55 percent of the schizophrenics, 65 percent of those who met the criteria for bipolar disorder, 44 percent of those with an atypical bipolar disorders, and 44 percent of those with a major depression received three or more additional diagnoses.

Table 3 presents the frequencies of multiple diagnoses within each diagnostic category. Schizophrenic disorders, major depression, and bipolar disorders are each associated, but to varying degrees, with all the other disorders examined. APD, alcohol and drug abuse and/or dependence are more often associated with each other than with a major dis-

order considering notably the odds ratios.

The odds ratios were calculated for each combination of disorders to indicate the odds of having both diagnoses. For example, a subject with schizophrenic disorder had 2.42 times the odds of receiving a diagnosis of drug abuse and/or dependence (26 schizophrenic disorder and drug abuse/dependence × 240 nonschizophrenic disorder and nondrug abuse/dependence ÷ 12 schizophrenic disorder and nondrug abuse/dependence × 215 nonschizophrenic disorder and drug abuse/dependence) compared with a subject who did not receive a diagnosis of schizophrenia.

Having found that the severe disorders are almost always associated with at least one other disorder, and that they are associated with all the other disorders examined, a search for patterns of disorders was undertaken. As can be observed in Table 4, all the possible combinations of disorders were found. Consequently, the number of subjects with each combination is low. The most frequently occurring combination of disorders was APD, alcohol abuse/depend-

Table 2	
Frequency of Co-Occurring	Disorders

Disorder N	N1	Number o	nber of Additional Lifetime Disorders	
	IN	None (%)	1–2 (%)	3 or More (%)
Schizophrenic disorders	38	3 (7.9)	14 (36.8)	21 (55.3)
Bipolar disorder	17	1 (5.9)	5 (29.4)	11 (64.7)
Atypical bipolar disorder	16	1 (6.3)	8 (40.0)	7 (43.8)
Major depression	73	4 (5.5)	37 (50.6)	32 (43.8)
APD	303	33 (10.9)	220 (72.6)	50 (16.5)
Alcohol abuse/dependence	330	49 (14.8)	227 (68.8)	54 (16.3)
Drug abuse/dependence	241	18 (7.5)	170 (70.5)	53 (22.0)

Only the following disorders were considered: schizophrenic disorders (schizophrenia and schizophreniform disorder), bipolar disorder, atypical bipolar disorder, major depression, APD, alcohol and drug abuse/dependence.

Table 3 Frequency of Co-Occurring Disorders Within Each Diagnostic Category

Frequency of Co-Occurring Disorders Within Each Diagnostic Category				
Category and Co-Occurring Disorder	N and Frequency (%)	Odds Ratio	p	
Schizophrenic disorders	N = 38			
Bipolar disorder	7 (18.4)	10.05	_	
Atypical bipolar disorder	1 (2.6)	0.79	· <u> </u>	
Major depression	9 (23.7)	1.90	0.17	
APĎ .	24 (63.2)	1.08	0.96	
Alcohol abuse/dependence	28 (73.7)	1.42	0.46	
Drug abuse/dependence	26 (68.4)	2.42	0.02	
Bipolar disorder	N = 17			
Schizophrenic disorders	7 (41.2)	10.05	_	
Atypical bipolar disorder			_	
Major depression	_		_	
APD	11 (64.7)	1.16	0.98	
Alcohol abuse/dependence	11 (64.7)	0.90	1.00	
Drug abuse/dependence	13 (76.5)	3.54	0.04	
Atypical bipolar disorder	N = 16			
Schizophrenic disorders	1 (6.3)	0.79	_	
Bipolar disorder	_			
Major depressive episode	_			
APD	13 (81.3)	2.79	0.16	
Alcohol abuse/dependence	9 (56.3)	0.62	0.51	
Drug abuse/dependence	13 (81.3)	4.73	0.02	
Major depression	N = 73			
Schizophrenic disorders	9 (12.3)	1.90	0.17	
Bipolar disorder				
Atypical bipolar disorder		4.0=		
APD	52 (71.2)	1.67	0.08	
Alcohol abuse/dependence	61 (83.6)	2.85†	0.002	
Drug abuse/dependence	42 (57.5)	1.50	0.14	
APD	N = 303	1.00	0.00	
Schizophrenic disorders	24 (7.9)	1.08	0.96	
Bipolar disorder	11 (3.6)	1.16 2.79	0.16	
Atypical bipolar disorder Major depression	13 (4.3)	1.67		
Alcohol abuse/dependence	52 (17.2) 225 (74.3)	2.34	0.08 0.000 ‡	
Drug abuse/dependence	180 (59.4)	3.09	0.000‡	
Alcohol abuse/dependence	N = 330	3.09	0.0004	
Schizophrenic disorders	28 (8.5)	1.42	0.46	
Bipolar disorder	11 (3.3)	0.90	1.00	
Atypical bipolar disorder	9 (2.7)	0.62	0.51	
Major depression	61 (18.5)	2.85	0.002†	
APD	225 (68.2)	2.34	0.000±	
Drug abuse/dependence	180 (54.5)	2.01	0.001‡	
Drug abuse/dependence	N = 241		0.00.4	
Schizophrenic disorders	26 (10.8)	2.42	0.02	
Bipolar disorder	13 (5.4)	3.54	0.04	
Atypical bipolar disorder	13 (5.4)	4.73	0.02	
Major depression	42 (17.4)	1.50	0.14	
APD	180 (74.7)	3.09	0.000‡	
Alcohol abuse/dependence	180 (74.7)	2.01	0.001†	

After Bonferonni correction for type I error.⁶⁷

p = .05 = .01, p = .01 = .002. p = .001 = .0002.

Table 4
Patterns of Co-Occurring Disorders

Pattern	Frequency (%)		
Severe mental disorder alone*	9	(1.8)	
Severe mental disorder + APD	4	(0.8)	
Severe mental disorder + alcohol abuse/dependence	15	(3.0)	
Severe mental disorder + drug abuse/dependence	2	(0.4)	
Severe mental disorder + alcohol abuse/dependence + drug abuse/dependence	13	(2.6)	
Severe mental disorder + APD + alcohol abuse/dependence	19	(3.9)	
Severe mental disorder + APD + drug abuse/dependence	18	(3.7)	
Severe mental disorder + APD + alcohol abuse/dependence + drug abuse/dependence	47	(9.5)	
APD alone	33	(6.7)	
APD + alcohol abuse/dependence	67	(13.6)	
APD + drug abuse/dependence	23	`(4.7)	
APD + alcohol abuse/dependence + drug abuse/dependence	92	(18.7)	
Alcohol abuse/dependence alone	49	`(9.9)	
Alcohol abuse/dependence + drug abuse/dependence	28	(5.7)	
Drug abuse/dependence alone	18	(3.7)	
None of the four disorders	56	(11.4)	

^{*} Schizophrenic disorders, bipolar disorder, atypical bipolar disorder, and major depression.

ence and drug abuse dependence manifested by 19 percent of the sample. The second most frequently occurring combination was APD and alcohol abuse dependence detected in 14 percent of the subjects. Third in rank, came none of the four disorders. Fourth, was alcohol abuse/dependence, alone, presented by 10 percent of the subjects. The fifth most frequently occurring combination of disorders, presented by nine percent of the subjects, was one of the major disorder accompanied by APD, alcohol abuse/dependence, and drug abuse/dependence.

The chronology of the diagnoses is presented in Table 5. As can be observed, 15 of the 34 subjects with a lifetime diagnosis of a schizophrenic disorder manifested this disorder before other secondary pathologies. However, only one of the 16 who received a diagnosis of bipolar disorder showed this disorder

before another. Atypical bipolar disorder never appeared first. Of the 70 subjects who had suffered at least one major depression only 15 manifested this disorder before another. As would be expected, APD shows an early onset relative to the other disorders in 62 percent of the affected subjects.

Discussion

Among a representative sample of male penitentiary inmates evaluated using the DIS, the lifetime prevalence of the severe mental disorders—schizophrenic disorders, bipolar disorder, atypical bipolar disorder, and major depression—was very high. However, few pure forms of these disorders were found. Rather, inmates suffering from the severe disorders almost all met the criteria for at least one other severe disorder or the APD or substance abuse/dependence. The present findings confirm those of Mirsky.¹⁰

Table 5
Chronology of Diagnoses

Disorder and Chronology	N and Frequency (%)			
Schizophrenic disorders*	N = 34			
Primary	15 (44.1)			
Secondary	16 (47.1)			
Concurrent onset	3 (8.8)			
Bipolar disorder†	N = 16			
Primary	1 (6.3)			
Secondary	13 (81.3)			
Concurrent onset	2 (12.5)			
Atypical bipolar disorder	N = 16			
Primary	0 ()			
Secondary	13 (81.3)			
Concurrent onset	3 (18.8)			
Major depressive episode‡	N = 70			
Primary	15 (21.4)			
Secondary	48 (68.6)			
Concurrent onset	7 (10.0)			
APD§	N = 294			
Primary	182 (61.9)			
Secondary	64 (21.8)			
Concurrent onset	48 (16.3)			
Drug abuse/dependence	N = 236			
Primary	52 (22.0)			
Secondary	158 (66.9)			
Concurrent onset	26 (11.0)			
Alcohol abuse/depend-	N = 320			
ence¶				
Primary	97 (30.3)			
Secondary	179 (55.9)			
Concurrent onset	44 (13.8)			

^{*} Relative onset not determinable in four cases.

Not only were the severe disorders almost always found in combination with at least one other disorder, every theoretically possible combination of disorders was identified. Given this multitude of combinations each association between any two disorders is necessarily weak. In fact, these associations were so weak that a principal component analysis could not be carried. The measures of sphericity were too low.

An association between schizophrenia

and antisocial behavior if not a full blown APD, has often been suggested. In fact, in 1938 Kallman⁵¹ noted the high rate of criminality among schizophrenics. Antisocial behavior defined as a diagnosis of psychopathy, sociopathy, APD, or convictions for criminal offenses has been found to be elevated, relative to the general population, in persons diagnosed schizophrenic and among their first degree relatives⁵²⁻⁵⁸ (L. Silverton, unpublished data, 1985). For example, Ortmann⁵⁹ examined admissions to psychiatric wards and criminal records of a birth cohort composed of the 12,270 males born in Greater Copenhagen in 1953. The analyses were carried out on 11,540 men (94.1% of the birth cohort) who were identified in the national register in 1975. Hospital admission data were collected when the subjects were 25 years old, and criminal records when they were 23. The rate of criminality among those diagnosed as suffering from schizophrenia was twice that for the general population. Not only does the prevalence of criminality among male schizophrenics appear to exceed that for the general male population, but as well in hospital male schizophrenics more often behave aggressively than patients with other disorders.60 One of the early characteristics of some boys at risk for schizophrenia who eventually develop the disorder is antisocial behavior.61

Bipolar disorder, atypical bipolar disorder, and major depression were found, only infrequently, as primary disorders; substance abuse and/or dependence are often associated with these disorders.

[†] Relative onset not determinable in one case.

[‡] Relative onset not determinable in three cases.

[§] Relative onset not determinable in nine cases.

Relative onset not determinable in five cases.

[¶] Relative onset not determinable in 10 cases.

Co-Occurring Mental Disorders

This finding is unlikely to be an artifact. The DIS protocol requires the interviewer to ask the subject for each reported symptom if it could be due to drugs or alcohol. The verbatim transcript of these interviews suggest that the inmates were quite able to distinguish a symptom resulting from substance use from one associated with a functional disorder.

This result is important for, as recommended by Bukstein, Brest, and Kaminer, ⁶² the association between major depression and substance abuse has been established with a reliable diagnostic tool, rather than noting depressive symptoms among substance abusers. Further, it is consistent with the hypothesis of the existence of a depressive spectrum with the underlying genetic or familial risk manifested in disorders of depression and substance abuse. The role of long term drug consumption as a causal agent of these severe disorders warrants further investigation.

Although the association of APD and alcohol abuse and/or dependence has previously been noted,^{63,64} evidence suggests that the two disorders are etiologically independent.^{65,66}

The inmates who suffered from a severe mental disorder were more likely than other inmates to have committed a homicide (G. Côté, S. Hodgins, unpublished data, November 1989). Only an examination of multiple disorders allowed us to identify which disorders were associated with homicide. Are multiple disorders associated with violent behavior? This has been hypothesized with respect to inhospital aggressive be-

havior.³² Future investigations are required to verify this association.

Acknowledgments

The data on which the present analyses are based were collected under contract to the Correctional Services of Canada. The authors wish to thank the employees of the Quebec region of CSC, the clinical coordinators, and wardens for their cooperation. We would also like to thank 495 inmates who graciously completed the lengthy interviews.

References

- Roth LH: Correctional psychiatry, in Forensic Psychiatry and Psychology. Edited by Curran WS, McGarry AL, Shah SA. Philadelphia, Davis Company, 1986, pp 429-68
- Wormith JS, Borzecki M: Mental Disorder in the Criminal System. Programs Branch User Report, Ministry of the Solicitor General of Canada. 1985
- Monahan J, Steadman HJ: Crime and mental disorder: an epidemiological approach, in Crime and Justice: An annual review of research, Vol. 4. Edited by Tonry M, Morris N. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1983, pp 145-89
- Helzer JE, Robins LN, McEvoy LT, et al: A comparison of clinical and Diagnostic Interview Schedule diagnoses: physician reexamination of lay-interviewed cases in the general population. Arch Gen Psychiatry 42:657–66, 1985
- Helzer JE, Spitznagel EL, McEvoy L: The predictive validity of lay Diagnostic Interview Schedule diagnoses in the general population: a comparison with physician examiners. Arch Gen Psychiatry 44:1069-77, 1987
- Robins LN, Helzer JE, Croughan J, et al: National Institute of Mental Health Diagnostic Interview Schedule: its history, characteristics, and validity. Arch Gen Psychiatry 38:381-9, 1981
- Collins JJ, Schlenger WE: The prevalence of psychiatric disorder among admissions to prison. Presented at the American Society of Criminology, Denver, CO, November 1983
- Daniel AE, Robins AJ, Reid JC, et al: Lifetime and six-month prevalence of psychiatric disorders among sentenced female offenders. Bull Am Acad Psychiatry Law 16:333-42, 1988
- Hyde PS, Seiter RP: The prevalence of mental illness among inmates in the Ohio prison system. The Department of Mental Health and the Ohio Department of Rehabilitation

- and Correction Interdepartmental Planning and Oversight Committee for Psychiatric Services to Corrections, 1987
- Mirsky KA: The problem of co-occurring disorders among jail detainees. Chicago: Department of psychiatry and behavioral sciences. Northwestern University, 1988
- Neighbors HW, Williams DH, Gunnings TS, et al: The prevalence of mental disorder in Michigan prisons. Final report submitted to the Michigan Department of Corrections, MI, 1987
- 12. Hodgins S, Côté G: The prevalence of mental disorder among penitentiary inmates. *Canada's Mental Health* (in press)
- Adams K: Former mental patients in a prison and parole system: a study of socially disruptive behavior. Criminal Justice and Behaviour 10:358-84, 1983
- Ashford JB: Offense comparisons between mentally disordered and non-mentally disordered inmates. Can J Criminol 31:35-48, 1989
- Banks C, Brody S, Fairhead S: A survey of the South East Prison population. London: Home Office Research Bulletin 5, 1978
- Kal EF: Mental health in jail. Am J Psychiatry 134:463, 1977
- Roth LH, Ervin FR: Psychiatric care of federal prisoners. Am J Psychiatry 128:424–30, 1971
- Jemelka R, Trupin E, Chiles JA: The mentally ill in prisons: a review. Hosp Community Psychiatry 40:481-91, 1989
- 19. Guze SB, Tuason VB, Gatfield PD, et al: Psychiatric illness and crime with particular reference to alcoholism: a study of 233 criminals. J Nerv Ment Dis 134:512-21, 1962
- Pietroski KW, Losacco D, Guze SB: Psychiatric disorders and crime: a study of pretrial psychiatric examinations. Dis Nerv Syst 37:309-11, 1976
- Taylor PJ, Gunn J: Violence and psychosis.
 Risk of violence among psychotic men. Br Med J 228:1945-9, 1984
- Boyd J, Burke JD, Gruenberg E, et al: Exclusion criteria of DSM-III: a study of co-occurrence of hierarchy-free syndromes. Arch Gen Psychiatry 41:983–9, 1984
- Frost LA, Moffitt TE, McGee R: Neuropsychological correlates of psychopathology in an unselected cohort of young adolescents. J Abnor Psychol 98:307-13, 1989
- 24. Klein DN, Taylor EB, Harding K, et al: Double depression and episodic major depression: demographic, clinical familial personality, and socio-environmental char-

- acteristics and short-term outcome. Am J Psychiatry 145:1226-31, 1988
- 25. Ross HE, Glaser FB, Germanson T: The prevalence of psychiatric disorders in patients with alcohol and other drug problems. Arch Gen Psychiatry 45:1023-31, 1988
- 26. Woody GE, McLellan AT, Luborsky L, *et al*: Sociopathy and psychotherapy outcome. Arch Gen Psychiatry 42:1081-6, 1985
- Bukstein OG, Brest DA, Kaminer Y: Comorbidity of substance abuse and other psychiatric disorders in adolescents. Am J Psychiatry 146:1131–41, 1989
- Teplin LA: Criminalizing mental disorder: the comparative arrest rate of the mentally ill. Am Psychologist 39:794–803, 1984
- Taylor PJ, Gunn J: Violence and psychosis.
 II. Effect of psychiatric diagnosis on conviction and sentencing of offenders. Br Med J 289:9-12, 1984
- Klassen D, O'Connor W: Crime, inpatient admissions, and violence among male mental patients. Int J Law Psychiatry 11:305-12, 1988
- 31. Lamb HR: Young adult chronic patients: the new drifters. Hosp Community Psychiatry 33:465-8, 1982
- 32. Krakowski M, Volavka J, Brizer D: Psychopathology and violence: a review of the literature. Compr Psychiatry 27:131-48, 1986
- 33. Schuckit MA: The clinical implications of primary diagnostic groups among alcoholics. Arch Gen Psychiatry 42:1043-9, 1985
- Coryell W, Endicott J, Andreasen NC, et al: Depression and panic attacks: the significance of overlap as reflected in follow-up and family study data. Am J Psychiatry 145:293–300, 1988
- 35. Zigler E, Glick M: Is paranoid schizophrenia really camouflaged depression? Am Psychologist 43:284-90, 1988
- Lewis G, Appleby L: Personality disorder: the patients psychiatrists dislike. Br J Psychiatry 153:44-9, 1988
- Protter B, Travin S: The significance of countertransference and related issues in a multiservice court clinic. Bull Am Acad Psychiatry Law 11:223-30, 1983
- Travin S, Protter B: Mad or bad? Some clinical considerations in the misdiagnosis of schizophrenia as antisocial personality disorder. Am J Psychiatry 139:1335-8, 1982
- Weissman MM, Pottenger M, Kleber H, et al: Symptom patterns in primary and secondary depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry 34:854-62, 1977
- 40. Escobar JI, Randolph ET, Asamen J, Karno

Co-Occurring Mental Disorders

- M: The NIMH-DIS in the assessment of DSM-III schizophrenic disorder. Schizophr Bull 12:187-93, 1986
- 41. Robins LN, Helzer JE, Ratcliff KS, et al: Validity of the Diagnostic Interview Schedule, version II: DSM-III diagnoses. Psychol Med 12:855-70, 1982
- 42. Von Korff M, Shapiro S, Burke JD, et al: Anxiety and depression in a primary care clinic: comparison of Diagnostic Interview Schedule, General Health Questionnaire, and practitioner assessments. Arch Gen Psychiatry 44:152-6, 1987
- 43. Weller RA, Penick EC, Powell BJ, et al: Agreement between two structured psychiatric diagnostic interviews: DIS and the PDI. Compr Psychiatry 26:157-63, 1985
- 44. Wittchen H-U, Semler G, Von Zerssen D: A comparison of two diagnostic methods: clinical ICD diagnoses vs. DSM-III and research diagnostic criteria using the Diagnostic Interview Schedule (version 2). Arch Gen Psychiatry 42:677–84, 1985
- 45. Karno M, Burnam MA, Escobar JI, et al: Development of the Spanish-language version of the National Institute of Mental Health Diagnostic Interview Schedule. Arch Gen Psychiatry 40:1183–8, 1983
- Hwu HG, Yeh EK, Chang LY: Prevalence of psychiatric disorders in Taiwan defined by the Chinese Diagnotic Interview Schedule. Acta Psychiatr Scand 79:136–47, 1989
- 47. Robins LN, Wing J, Wittchen HU, et al: The Composite International Diagnostic Interview. Arch Gen Psychiatry 45:1069–77, 1988
- Cohen J: A coefficient of agreement for nominal scales. Educational Psychological Measurement 20:37–46, 1960
- Bland RC, Orn H, Newman SC: Lifetime prevalence of psychiatric disorders in Edmonton. Acta Psychiatr Scand 77:24-32, 1988
- Robins LN, Helzer JE, Weissman MM, et al: Lifetime prevalence of specific psychiatric disorders in three sites. Arch Gen Psychiatry 41;949–58, 1984
- 51. Kallman. *The Genetics of Schizophrenia*: New York, Augustin, 1938
- Bleuler, M: The Schizophrenic Disorders: Long-term Patient and Family Studies. New Haven, Yale University Press, 1978
- 53. Ketty SF, Rosenthal D, Wender PH, *et al*: The types and prevalences of mental illness in the biological and adoptive families of

- adopted schizophrenics, in The Transmission of Schizophenia. Edited by Rosenthal D, Kety SS. London: Pergamon Press, 1968, pp. 345–62
- Landau R, Harth P, Othnay N, et al: The influence of psychotic parents on their children's development. Am J Psychiatry 129:38-43, 1972
- Lewis DO, Balla DA: Delinquency and Psychopathology. New York, Grune Stratton, 1970
- Lindelius R: A study of schizophrenia: a clinical prognostic and family investigation. Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl 216, 1970
- Mednick SA, Parnas J, Schulsinger F: The Copenhagen high-risk project 1962–1986. Schizophr Bull 13:485–96, 1987
- Robins L: Deviant Children Grown Up. Baltimore. Williams and Wilkins. 1966
- Ortmann J: Psykisk ofvigelse og kriminel adfaerd en under sogelse of 11,533 maend fodt i 1953 i det metropolitane omrade kobenhaun. Forksningsrapport, vol. 17, 1981
- Yesavage JA, Brizer DA. Clinical and historical correlates of dangerous inpatient behavior, in Current Approaches to the Prediction of Violence. Edited by Brizer DA, Crowner ML. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press, 1989, pp 65-84
- 61. Asarnow JR. Children at risk for schizophrenia: converging lines of evidence. Schizophr Bull 14:613–31, 1988
- Bukstein OG, Brest DA, Kaminer Y: Comorbidity of substance abuse and other psychiatric disorders in adolescents. Am J Psychiatry 146:1131–41, 1989
- 63. Grande TP, Wolf AW, Schubert DSP, et al: Associations among alcoholism, drug abuse, and antisocial personality: a review of literature. Psychol Rep 55:455-74, 1984
- 64. Wolf AW, Schubert DSP, Patterson MB, et al: Associations among major psychiatric diagnoses. J Consult Clin Psychol 56:292-4, 1988
- 65. Goodwin DW, Schulsinger F, Moller N, et al: Drinking problems in adopted and non-adopted sons of alcoholics. Arch Gen Psychiatry 31:164–9, 1974
- 66. Cloninger CR, Bohman M, Sigvardsson S, *et al*: Psychopathology in adopted-out-children of alcoholics. The Stockholm adoption study. Recent Dev Alcohol 3:37–51, 1985
- Fleiss JL: The Design and Analysis of Clinical Experiments. New York, John Wiley and Sons. 1986